Algorithms

CS 1025 Computer Science Fundamentals I

Stephen M. Watt University of Western Ontario

Objectives

• Understand that there can be very different ways to solve the same problem.

- Understand that these ways have different benefits:
 - Simplicity to describe and understand
 - Difficulty to implement and maintain
 - Time cost and space cost to run

Algorithms vs Programs

- An *algorithm* describes *how* to do something.
 - It is a precise description.
 - It always works, or specifies exactly when it fails.
 - It terminates on all inputs.
- A program describes the steps to do something.
 - It may or may not give an algorithm.
 - Concerned with practicalities, such as the names of storage locations, whether a loop or recursion is used, ...

(An Aside)

- Strictly speaking those are imperative programs.
- There are also *declarative programs* that describe *properties* of the answer.
- Then an *algorithm* in the programming language *implementation* provides the *steps* to do the computation.
- E.g. Lex, Prolog, VHDL, YACC

Problem 1

- We will look at a very simple problem and examine two algorithms to solve it.
- The problem we will look at is *so simple*, you have been doing it since you were about 10 years old.
- The problem is to compute *x* to the power *n*.

The Way You Know

- Algorithm: Multiply *x* by itself *n*-1 times.
- Program 1:

```
double power(double x, int n) {
   double pow = 1;
   while (n-- > 0) pow *= x;
   return pow;
}
```

This is valid Java and valid C.

```
• Program 2:
```

```
double power(double x, int n) {
    if (n == 0) return 1;
    return x * power(x, n-1);
}
// Or: return n == 0 ? 1 : x*power(x, n-1);
```

• Q: If each product costs \$1, how much does it cost to compute power(3.0, 100) ?

- Q: If each product costs \$1, how much does it cost to compute power(3.0, 100) ?
 - A: \$99.

- Q: If each product costs \$1, how much does it cost to compute power(3.0, 100) ?
 - A: \$99.
- The cost is n-1 multiplications.
- That's a lot. Can we do better?

Thinking About The Problem

- Are there any special values that can be computed faster?
- If so, we could compute one of those and then adjust the result...

 $power(b, n) = b \times ... \times b \times power(b, special_n)$

A Family of Special Values

- Consider x^(2*k).
- This is (x^k)^2.

A Family of Special Values

- Consider x^(2*k).
- This is (x^k)^2.
- Can be computed with *half* the number of operations:

t = power(x,k); pow = t*t

A 2nd Algorithm: Repeated Squaring

- If *n* is even, then compute the square of $x^{n/2}$.
- If *n* is odd, then *n-1* is even. Compute x*x^(n-1).
- Stop at n = 0. $x^0 = 1$.

Program for Repeated Squaring

```
double power(double x, int n) {
    if (n == 0)
        return 1;
    else if (n % 2 == 0) {
        double t = power(x, n/2);
        return t*t;
    else {
        double t = power(x, n/2);
        return x*t*t;
```

Another Pgm for Repeated Squaring

```
double power(double x, int n) {
    double pow;
    if (n == 0)
        pow = 1;
    else {
        double t = power(x, n/2);
        pow = t*t;
        if (n % 2 == 1) pow *= x;
    return pow;
 }
```

• Advantages: No code duplication. Single exit point.

- Worst case:
 - 2 multiplications at each step.
 - Each step divides the number by 2.
 - The number of steps is therefore log[2](n)
 Need to round that up to the next integer.
 - Cost is proportional to log[2](n)

Why log[2](n) ?

- Suppose we had a problem of size n = 1,000,000.
- Then solved it in terms of a pb of size 100,000.
- Then solved that in terms of a pb of size 10,000.
- Then solved that in terms of a pb of size 1,000.
- Then solved that in terms of a pb of size 100.
- Then solved that in terms of a pb of size 10.
- Then solved that in terms of a pb of size 1.
- At each stage we remove a zero.
- There are log[10](n) zeros.
- This is true whether this is 10 base ten or 10 base two.
- Splitting the problem size in half at each stage => log[2](n)

A Third Algorithm (Just in case you wondered)

- Use the fact that $x^n = \exp(\log(x^n)) = \exp(n * \log(x))$
- Use standard *numerical approximation* techniques to compute exp(x) and log(x).
- This involves computing a quotient where both the numerator and denominator are polynomials of x. (Hermite-Pade approximants).
- These do not compute exp and log, but are approximations.
- It gives an answer that is correct to needed # of digits (e.g. 17)
- Fixed cost. Same for all n.